```
1
 1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
 4
      W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
 5
      capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
      OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
 6
      OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
      ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
 7
      in his capacity as the
      TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
 8
      FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
 9
                  Plaintiff,
10
      vs.
                                    ) 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
11
      TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                       VOLUME I OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
      DEPOSITION OF DENNIS COOKE, PhD, produced as a
16
      witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above
17
      styled and numbered cause, taken on the 4th day of
18
      December, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of
19
      Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A.
20
      Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
21
      certified under and by virtue of the laws of the
22
      State of Oklahoma.
23
24
25
```

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	A	I recall Mr. Page's instruction, don't delete	
2	anythi	ing, and I didn't.	
3	Q	Dr. Cooke, do you consider yourself to be a	
4	microb	piologist?	
5	A	No.	08:49AM
6	Q	Do you consider yourself to be a toxicologist?	
7	A	Well, if you're asking may I ask	
8	unders	stand what this question is about?	
9	Q	Well, you know what a toxicologist is, don't	
10	you?		08:50AM
11	A	I do.	
12	Q	Okay. I mean, do you have any training in	-
13	that f	Field?	
14	A	I have written substantially about toxicology	
15	relate	ed to disinfection byproducts, so, yes.	08:50AM
16	Q	You consider yourself to be an environmental	
17	engine	eer?	
18	A	I have done a lot of work with environmental	
19	engine	eering, so, yes.	
20	Q	Do you consider yourself to be a sanitary	08:50AM
21	engine	eer?	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	You're not a medical doctor, obviously, are	
24	you?		
25	A	No.	08:50AM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	21st of 2007 before you started working on THMs	
2	again?	
3	A I don't know. It had to have been shortly	
4	thereafter because we had a final report written by	
5	the first week or so of January of '08, and this is	01:36PM
6	at the end of September. So somewhere in that next	
7	three months and it had to be very shortly because	
8	it took a heck of a lot of work to get the THM	
9	report done.	
10	Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Cooke, that there's a	01:36PM
11	problem or will be a problem with disinfection	
12	byproducts in the Illinois River watershed or Lake	
13	Tenkiller?	
14	A There currently is a problem, and I think it	
15	will get worse as unless poultry waste is	01:37PM
16	stopped, it's going to get worse because there's	
17	going to be more loading and more algae production,	
18	and that's going to create more problems in these	
19	water plants, a lot more problems. So, yes, I think	
20	it will get worse unless there's a cessation of	01:37PM
21	poultry waste disposal.	
22	Q Is that the basis for your opinion?	
23	A I'm sorry?	
24	Q Let me ask it this way: Did you just state	
25	all the opinions you have regarding disinfection	01:37PM

1	byproducts as they relate to the IRW in Lake			
2	Tenkiller?			
3	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.			
4	Q Is that what you just told me; is that your	01 2774		
5	opinion?	01:37PM		
6	A I have more opinions than that.			
7	Q Okay. What are the other ones?			
8	A I wonder if we could get a structured			
9	question; otherwise, I'll start reading the text to			
10	you. I don't know what	01:37PM		
11	Q Have you put all your opinions about DBPs in			
12	your written report?			
13	A I have. I have stronger opinions now than I			
14	had when I wrote the report.			
15	Q Why?	01:38PM		
16	A More information.			
17	Q What information?			
18	A Mainly information from the periodical			
19	literature, and let me see if I can explain that.			
20	When you look at the disinfection byproduct reports 01:38PM			
21	that come from ODEQ, what you see is that in various			
22	quarters these utilities are in excess, and			
23	sometimes 20 or 30 percent of them are way in			
24	excess, especially on THMs, and then in a subsequent			
25	quarter, their numbers are back down again, and so	01:38PM		

1	the running four-quarter average shows that they're
2	not out of compliance because that's the basis for
3	determining in or out of compliance is the average
4	you have on a running four-quarter basis, but the
5	more I thought about this and began to look at 01:38PM
6	periodical literature in this regard, and we'll be
7	providing you a list of some of those reports if
8	they're not already in here, is that there is a very
9	definite link between drinking water that has
10	disinfection byproducts in it at a level near but 01:39PM
11	below the EPA threshold, a definite link between
12	drinking that water and spontaneous abortions,
13	meaning that this is short-term exposure that would
14	cause that since the gestation time is nine or fewer
15	months for humans, meaning that these one-quarter 01:39PM
16	exceedances might alone be enough to provide that
17	kind of embryo toxic environment.
18	There are not very many people at some of
19	these drinking water plants. They have customers
20	that are less than a number of customers less 01:39PM
21	than a thousand, but some of them are quite high,
22	and Tahlequah would be an example of that. So then
23	it and I don't have that very statement that I
24	just gave you regarding spontaneous abortions in
25	here. This just took additional thinking and an 01:40PM

1	additi	ional look at the literature.	
2	Q	Well, where you talking about literature	
3	you lo	ooked at?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Where is that literature from? 01:4	10PM
6	A	Published periodical literature published	
7	in pe	er-reviewed journals.	
8	Q	What journals?	
9	A	Epidemiology is a journal. Journal of Public	
10	Health	h. You know, I have these in my briefcase, and 01:4	10PM
11	that's	s about the best I can tell you.	
12	Q	In your briefcase with you today?	
13	A	They may be there with you. As I said earlier	
14	this m	morning, we'll provide you with a list of the	
15	very a	articles I'm referring to. 01:4	10PM
16	Q	When did you review those things?	
17	A	In the last month to six weeks.	
18	Q	Have you turned them over to the lawyers for	
19	the St	tate of Oklahoma?	
20	A	Yes. 01:4	11PM
21	Q	When?	
22	A	Yesterday.	
23	Q	What investigations or what investigation have	
24	you do	one, Dr. Cooke, to determine the extent, if	
25	any, c	of spontaneous abortions in the State of 01:4	11PM

1	Oklaho	oma?		
2	A	I have not done any. I don't understand why		
3	Oklaho	oma women would be any different than		
4	Califo	ornia and Nova Scotia and some of the other		
5	sites	where this has been reported. The sample site 01:41PM		
6	is so	large that I feel it's fair to extrapolate to		
7	other	humans.		
8	Q	Can you tell me what periodicals or studies		
9	that y	you are referring to?		
10	A	As I mentioned in a previous answer to that 01:41PM		
11	quest	ion, Epidemiology is one. That's the name of a		
12	journa	journal.		
13	Q	What else?		
14	A	I believe the Journal of Public Health but,		
15	like 1	I say, if I could say this just one more time, 01:42PM		
16	I'll p	provide you this list. I could do it by		
17	tomori	row if you'd like to have it.		
18	Q	Would you agree, Dr. Cooke, that these studies		
19	are no	ot all accepted or not accepted in all quarters		
20	as being valid? 01:42PM			
21	A	No.		
22	Q	Do you agree or disagree with that?		
23	A	I would disagree with that. I have no		
24	inform	nation to believe that they're not accepted.		
25	Q	How deep have you dug on that? 01:42PM		

1	A I've tried to read as much as I can get, and			
2	with my kind of background and the background of any			
3	scientist, you look at the sample size and the			
4	methods that they used and then see if you agree			
5	with the conclusions.	01:42PM		
6	Q Is it your testimony under oath that you've			
7	not seen anything or read anything that indicates			
8	there's significant disagreement among the medical			
9	research community about this?			
10	A I have not made this statement. I can find	01:42PM		
11	one article that disagrees with that.			
12	Q What article is that?			
13	A It's an article written by two individuals,			
14	Savitz and Singer.			
15	Q Who are they?	01:43PM		
16	A Both of them work I believe at the University			
17	of North Carolina. I can't identify their specific			
18	departments in that university, but they did a			
19	study, a smaller sample size, but their conclusion			
20	was that they couldn't find a relationship but their	01:43PM		
21	sample size was smaller but, sure, there's that kind			
22	of disagreement.			
23	Q Has the Environmental Protection Agency, Dr.	:		
24	Cooke, altered its DBP thresholds that are allowed			
25	as a result of any of these studies that you've	01:43PM		

1	looked at?
2	A Not to my knowledge. These studies are new;
3	in some cases they're new. You see, the threshold
4	that we work under with regard to DBPs, all of us,
5	have more to them than just the toxicological impact 01:44PM
6	of DBPs. EPA had to set these on the basis of
7	obtainability relative to cost and to engineering
8	possibilities, and so these numbers, 80 for THM, 60
9	for haloacetic acids are compromises.
10	Q Well, we'll come back to that in a minute. Is 01:44PM
11	the State of Oklahoma in your opinion failing to
12	protect its citizens with regard to disinfection
13	byproducts?
14	A I think they probably could pay more attention
15	to it. I wouldn't say they are failing. They're 01:44PM
16	following the letter of the law.
17	Q But the question is, are they failing to
18	protect its citizens with regard to DBPs? That's
19	the question.
20	A I'll have to give you the same answer. 01:44PM
21	They're following the letter of the law and if
22	the regulation, and if those regulations are failing
23	to protect Oklahomans, then they're failing to
24	protect everybody in the United States.
25	Q Have you evaluated the reporting of 01:45PM

		•		
1	trihal	omethane concentrations for the IRW water		
2	treatm	ment facilities?		
3		MR. PAGE: I'll object to the form.		
4	A	Yes. Have I evaluated them?		
5	Q	Uh-huh.	01:45PM	
6	A	I certainly have reported them in a report		
7	about	them, yes.		
8	Q	Which facilities have you evaluated?		
9	A	There's 18 in Oklahoma along the lakeshore and		
10	on the	river, and we got reports from all of them.	01:45PM	
11	Q	Who gave you those reports or how did you get		
12	your h	ands on them I guess is my question?		
13	A	They came from the ODEQ and were obtained for		
14	me fro	m Michelle Garber, who is a toxicologist		
15	workin	g for Dr. Teaf.	01:46PM	
16	Q	What did you find in there in those reports?		
17	A	Well, there were a few utilities, excuse me,		
18	that r	eported no exceedances at all. All of the		
19	rest had some exceedances, and some a lot more than			
20	others, and so that's how I reported it was by the 01:46PM			
21	number	of exceedances and near exceedances that each		
22	of the	utilities had over the span of time that they		
23	report	ed.		
24	Q	Isn't it true, Dr. Cooke, that you didn't		
25	find -	- that you found that there were actually very	01:46PM	

			_
1	few pr	coblems with THMs in tap water from the lake or	
. 2	the ri	.ver?	
3	A	Well, few is certainly a subjective number.	
4	In som	ne of the utilities, exceedances over the span	
5	of rep	porting time would be 20 or 30 percent of their	01:47PM
6	report	es. I don't call that few. And some of them,	
7	I will	say, there were very few reports, maybe one	
8	or two	o or zero. That would probably be considered	
9	few.		
10	Q	I'll hand you Defendant's Exhibit No. 12 and	01:47PM
11	ask yo	ou to take a moment to look at that, if you	
12	would,	Doctor.	
13	A	Okay.	
14	Q	The bottom of the page there's an E-mail that	
15	looks	like you wrote to Roger Olsen on September 9th	01:48PM
16	of 200)7; is that correct?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	And the subject was query about THAA and TTHM;	
19	is tha	at right?	
20	A	Uh-huh.	01:48PM
21	Q	Okay. Would you read into the Record the	
22	portic	on that I've highlighted in yellow?	
23	A	From what little I can see, parenthesis,	
24	histor	rical, plus '05, '06, there are few problems	
25	with T	THMs in tap water from the lake or the river.	01:48PM

1		
1	United States Environmental Protection Agency	
2	predict at the standard of 80 micrograms per liter?	
3	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
4	A Very difficult question to understand.	
5	They're not predicting a health risk at 80	02:07PM
6	micrograms. What they are saying is that	
7	disinfection byproducts are strongly associated with	
8	certain types of cancers and with embryo toxic	
9	effects. So they drew the line at 80 based in part	
10	on health risk and based in part on costs and	02:08PM
11	attainability of getting it any better, that is,	
12	getting DBPs any lower in finished drinking water.	
13	So the number 80 for DBP for THMs and 60 for	
14	haloacetic acids is essentially a compromise number	
15	and is not entirely based upon health risk.	02:08PM
16	Q Are you suggesting that the EPS not protecting	
17	the health of the citizens of this country?	
18	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	
19	A Well, off the Record I'd say 100 percent true	
20	but that's not	02:08PM
21	Q Well, you're on the Record.	
22	A I'm on the Record. Yes, I'll say that now,	
23	but that has everything to do with air quality and	
24	water quality.	
25	Q Did you determine, Dr. Cooke, whether the	02:09PM